

**Effects of management practices on the welfare of dairy donkeys and risk factors associated with signs of hoof neglect**

Francesca Dai<sup>1\*</sup>, Giulia Segati<sup>1</sup>, Marta Brscic<sup>2</sup>, Matteo Chincarini<sup>3</sup>, Emanuela Dalla Costa<sup>1</sup>, Lorenzo Ferrari<sup>1</sup>, Faith Burden<sup>4</sup>, Andrew Judge<sup>4</sup>, Michela Minero<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Università degli Studi di Milano, Dipartimento di Medicina Veterinaria, 20133 Milano, Italy

<sup>2</sup>Università degli Studi di Padova, Dipartimento di Medicina Animale, Produzioni e Salute, 35020 Legnaro, Italy

<sup>3</sup>Università degli Studi di Teramo, Facoltà di Medicina Veterinaria, 64100 Teramo, Italy

<sup>4</sup>The Donkey Sanctuary, Sidmouth, Devon, EX10 0NU, UK

Short title: **Effects of management on dairy donkey welfare**

\*Correspondence: Francesca Dai  
Università degli Studi di Milano  
Dipartimento di Medicina Veterinaria  
Via Celoria 10  
20133 Milano  
Italy  
phone +39 0250318033  
FAX +39 0250318030  
*E-mail: [francesca.dai@unimi.it](mailto:francesca.dai@unimi.it)*

## Summary

This Research Paper aimed to investigate donkey welfare in dairy husbandry systems and to identify the potential factors affecting it at animal level. In 2015, twelve dairy donkey farms (19-170 donkeys per farm, mean =  $55 \pm 48$ ), distributed throughout Italy, were visited. On each farm, the Animal Welfare Indicators (AWIN) welfare assessment protocol for donkeys was used by two trained assessors to evaluate the welfare of animals for a total of 257 donkeys assessed. The protocol includes animal-based indicators that were entered in a digitalized system. Prevalence of different scores at individual, farm and category level were calculated. Farmers were asked to fill out a questionnaire including information regarding the management of donkeys and their final destination. Answers to the questionnaire were then considered as effects in the risk factor analysis whereas the scores of the animal-based indicators were considered as response variables. Most of the donkeys (80.2%) enjoyed a good nutritional status (BCS = 3). 18.7% of donkeys showed signs of hoof neglect such as overgrowth and/or incorrect trimming (Min = 0% Max = 54.5%). Belonging to a given farm or production group influenced many of the welfare indicators. The absence of pasture affected the likelihood of having skin lesions, alopecia, low BCS scores and a less positive emotional state. Lack of routine veterinary visits ( $P < 0.001$ ) and having neglected hooves ( $P < 0.001$ ) affected the likelihood of being thin (BCS < 3). Belonging to specific production groups, lack of access to pasture and showing an avoidance reaction to an approaching human (AD) resulted being risk factors associated with a higher prevalence of signs of hoof neglect. Our results support the idea that lack of knowledge of proper donkey care among owners was behind many welfare issues found.